

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/141,264	08/27/1998	TERRELL B. JONES	7099.0003	9665	
826	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER		
ALSTON &			GARG, YOGESH C		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000		E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E, NC 28280-4000	2 .000	3625		

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ì

	Applicati n N . Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/141,264	JONES ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yogesh C Garg	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. Gee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The approportion the final O	priate extension Iffice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	•
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.9			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:	Manual Ma	A. Smith Examiner	
	rninary i	_Aditiii idi	



ř

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In order to satisfy the applicant's challenge to the Official Notice taken by the examiner in the Final Office action, two references (US Patent 6,163,748 to Guenther and US Patent 6,085,976 to Sehr) are enclosed as evidence and to substantiate the Official Notice taken by the examiner. See Guenther, at least Col.1, lines 21-66," The invention relates to a method and apparatus for controlling transport and travel operations, in which the route between the starting point and the destination point, which is optimized under preselectable aspects, is determined and made available by means of a computer on the basis of stored general information, by way of the junction points of a traffic network. Various methods of this type are known. They are essentially based on data collections (as a rule, on CD-ROM), which contain the data of a traffic network, such as a road, railroad or flight network, and use a computer which determines the most favorable route between two or optionally several points of the respective network. In this case, parameters, such as price, speed, route distance or the like, are taken into account. The user enters the starting point (locality, street) and the destination, and receives a corresponding route plan......be taken into account in order to generate an optimal route suggestion. Thus, it is of interest, for example, whether or not the traveler has luggage to be transported. In addition, the junction points of normal traffic networks are relatively loosely distributed so that, although the smallest element that can be used within a city may be a street, for example; within a country, the smallest element that can be used may only be a "city district" or the like.It is an object of the invention to provide a method which permits the use of all relevant information for the connection possibilities between an exact starting point and an exact destination point to establish an optimal route plan. In addition to the automobile, this includes all rail-bound short-distance and long-distance means of transport and air traffic. Part of the new approach is a communication concept which is to be utilized intuitively and which ensures an easy access to the system by the users. ". See also Sehr at least col.7, lines 25-47," FIG. 2 illustrates the Travel Center (2) that provides the computerized meansSuch a center can be, for example,a provider of virtual services that are delivered via remote ticket offices, electronic shopping malls, or on-line travel support functions. Also shown is a Passenger Station (31) that controls access to the transportation carriers, as well as monitors possible connections relating to a particular travel itinerary including alternative transportation means.Means for transportation may include airplanes, railroads, ships, automobiles, subways, buses, or rental cars. col.9, lines 20-31, "...The travel map (22) lets the user explore various travel itineraries, while automatically providing alternative routes and related recommendations. For example, the passenger inputs into, or points and clicks on, the map the departure and destination locations, including the date of travel and number of tickets requested. In response thereto, the map compiles and provides a set of possible itineraries, including the ticket price and the departure and arrival times associated with the recommended alternatives. Should the trip require any connections, the map will also provide the necessary information, including the type of carriers and time/location of transfer.

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

From these references, the Official Notice taken by the examiner in the previous Final Office action, page 7, to show, that determining one mode of transportation between the intermediate point and the destination location based upon the travel goal is old and well established in the field of traveling is evident and justified. In view of this, the rejection of claims 1-57 stands good as submitted in the previous Final Office action and, therefore, the application cannot be placed in condition for allowance. As regards claim 58, the examiner has considered the applicants' arguments but not found persuasive.